

Comments_Negative_A
Neg_ScenA
??
A loss of 39.2 acres of farmland
A lot of roads to repair. (At lease in the Reedley area)
Active all ready, not really need improving
Active transportation
Affects our agricultural economy
Air Quality
already have this in my community
any
Area is already cover a large area
Bad air w/ drive
balance is good
Because people can do more exercise.
Bike riders may not be seen
Both A & B seem most feasible
Buses take too long.
By not doing your part in the community
Can get hit by car
Can't think
car might get ran over
Carpool
Carpooling
Carpooling in ??? Does not work
Carpooling, vanpooling work best in large city environ.
companies like uper eliminate the need for public transportation
Construction
Construction delays/traffic
consume a lot of farmland which can effect our economy
Consumes too much farmland
Continuos/never ending
Cost
Cost time efficiency
Costly
Costs
Currently poorly maintenance roadways will probably continue
doesn't explain how they will be investing in the most unfavorable communities
Doesn't help much

Comments_Negative_A
Neg_ScenA
Doesn't put the money where it's most needed
Don't believe anything negative will happen but nothing great
Don't focus on ?? Exist
Equality is not always the best across the board because some need more
Excessive pollution
Existing encourages car use
existing gets worse
Fair to all area
Farmland acreage
farmland consumed
Farmland destroyed, less food & higher prices
fix the streets
Focused only on transportation
Funding
Funding is too spread out.
Greenhouse
Greenhouse Gas
Greenhouse gas more pollution
Greenhouse gases
has all requirements
Have more services like stores, clinics and parks so we wouldn't have to use automobiles
Health improve
Healthy & Safety
Higher focus on roads, states quo, not sustainable
Housing mix
I cannot think of any negative impacts at the moment
I don't see much growth and change
I don't see negative
I don't see negative in any of them but to effect the most people, helping expand large transportation avenues seems the most logical.
I feel as if this scenario lacks direction
I like
I like A
I like this option
I like this scenario because this is what I need
I wouldn't use due to age
If most people would be willing to carpool/vanpool

Comments_Negative_A
Neg_ScenA
ignoring others while focusing on one, why choose?
Improving all, we have a high bad air quality and we need to take care of our environment in general.
In my community it is pretty small, so people may not be available to your time, or when you need to transport. Consumption of farmland
Increase of transportation increase pollution
Individuals will not want to share their "space" with others and go back to their old way
Insufficient options for non-drivers
It can be hard to find people to carpool with complex schedules
It may not be truly balanced for all
It mostly would provide services in the urban areas
it only balances out the city
It takes longer
It will take longer to meet in the middle.
Just make sure bus transportation is more frequent at stops
lack of jobs
Lack of privacy, lack of independence
Land use
Less air quality
Less cars on road
less paving
Less personal time more time spent devoted to walk and commute.
less probability of walking and numbers would go up with the ill persons
Let all joy the world. :)
Loss of ag land
Lost of farmland
Maintaining is fine but we need improvement
Make everyone equal
Make everyone's area equal
more air pollution
More expensive
More greenhouse gases and pollution
more money
more need in incorporated areas
More people driving
more premature deaths
more premature deaths would occur
More spread out just = more traffic

Comments_Negative_A
Neg_ScenA
more transportation for seniors
More transportation systems
More useful for the worker
most people like driving
n/a GOOD!!
Need clean air
Need more sidewalks, not all hanicap accessible.
Need to transport people to only one area so not to use to much time
NO
No bike paths
No bikes -- Mendota
No buses in Mendota
No buses in Mendota.
No difference in greenhouse gases to D
No expansions
No impace
no new development
No one gets left out in transportation
No one is active
No road maintenance to my area
No transportation
none
None! (good idea)
Not a lot of people will get exercise needed and eventually will become obese
not all will improve us all
not being utilized
not completely cost effective
Not convenient for all
Not enough growth.
Not enough improvement potential for urban or rural to be significant.
not enough participation
Not enough people use public transportation
Not enough public transportation
Not everyone is open to carpooling
Not focus on my area
not getting on time
Not to much on money

Comments_Negative_A
Neg_ScenA
On any scenario
Only 13.22% greenhouse gas reduction
only the city
Overpopulation and vandalism that exists in the population
Overpopulation, vandalism & crime
parks
people may feel uncomfortable carpooling, so it may not be effective
People may feel uncomfortable carpooling, so it may not be effective
People might abuse this
People will need to adapt to the car pool lifestyle
Planning not included
Premature deaths
Promotes urban sprawl
Public transp seldom used
putting money into large cities
range of options will be used
Range of transportation options
Requires higher land use
Roads and growth
roads don't get fixed
rough roads
Rural access- commerce
Rural areas are still in need
Rural farm communities would lose population
safe?
Scenario A is kind of a balance so it pretty much a balance not negative impacts
second choice
Security
shares are shared equally
Silos
slows down traffic main throughfare. Too many bike paths
Small improvement/no improvement just average...
Some people like driving on their own
Some programs may not get enough.
Someone may be greedy and not contribute
status-quo, limits change
Taxes go up

Comments_Negative_A
Neg_ScenA
taxes/sprawl/unaffordable housing
That it doesn't have the roads all the way around
That people will not use the carpool joint transportation.
The big cities don't need so much help
The money is spent evenly.
The negative part is there are small towns that need more help than the cities
The streets
There isn't much privacy
there would be more deaths
This is more fair.
This option is not always available for all residents, especially who live in Central. A better marketing of public transportation would be better.
this scenario need spend lot of money
to improve air quality
to many roads
Too many costs
Too many vehicles
Too much farmland consumed.
Too much farmland taken
too much land will be consumed
too much smog due to the buses
Too much spent and lots of people won't use it
Too much traffic
traffic
Transportation
Transportation passes every half hour
Transportation to other areas/cities/buses!
Unequal distribution
Upper class getting more help
Use larger cars
Uses land to expand
Using cars
Vanpooling/carpooling although great, I feel I'd get faster from A-B in my own vehicle.
Very close to scenario D
Very similar to Scenario D. Not sure that is much of difference to all this scenario difference from A
We have to keep up with the times a little better than this
We need change and improvement

Comments_Negative_A

Neg_ScenA

We need walkways and bike paths

Weather does not support active transportation.

What if I like driving alone?

what would happen in 25 years if the air quality would change

Will ridesharing be expensive & will it be ready or hard and/or efficient.

Will produce more pollution with the work

Will wait long time to get home

Without the money going to small towns I see bankruptcies

wont see a difference

Work is too far to bike

You cannot always find someone to carpool with.