

Comments_Negative_B
Neg_ScenB
- far away from where I live
a lot of Gas emission we don't need more air pollution
Accountability
accountability, loitering cost
all
all fundings going into B is not helping me at all
Appealing but effects fewer people
Areas of town not ideal or too expensive
assists the majority of population
Because less pollution
best quality of air
Bicycles
bike & walk
Bike on buses
Biking/Transportation
Building new will end up ignoring
Bus not safe
Bus not seeing the walkers
Bus routes continue to exclude most populations and will continue to exclude them in 2035
By the tons of pollutants
Car routes
clean air and active and healthy communities
Clovis has good structure
Cost
Cost of living. Delay is higher
County and smaller rural areas does not benefit
Crowded
Decrease in economical aspect, real GDP
dedicate in community
dense growth, more crowded city
dense population
Disadvantaged communities not gaining improvements
Does not address growth and county size spread
does not help the majority
Does not improve GGE and no improvement on roads
Don't want to live in a place like New York City
encourages sprawl which Fresno has too much of

Comments_Negative_B
Neg_ScenB
existing gets worse
Farmland
Focus too much on larger cities
Focuses on the metro area
Freeways new
Fresno is large and spread out, not very close to support B
gas
Good ideas, but would investment be concentrated in the city of Fresno only
Good now and keeps and makes new jobs
greenhouse gases increase
growth
hard to adapt to
Hard to travel with family
Healthier
Helps get people to and from work and doing stuff around town
high amount of deaths
High use in Tons of Criteria Pollutants
Housing
How many people can you fit near these resources
How money is used in populated areas vs new development
I almost picked this. There would not be a negative impact. This is also needed.
I also like this scenario because we need a park to which I can take my children.
I cannot think of any negative impacts at the moment
I chose this one.
I don't think it will help us very much we live outside of Fresno
I doubt that people will be able to live and work as most jobs are in the city. I live in the rural area requiring to travel.
I like but highly unlikely
I like that it will encourage people to be out and about and reduce emissions.
I like this one
I worry about issues of gentrification in downtown areas....
I wouldn't like riding the bus
ignoring others while focusing on one, why choose?
Ignoring roads that need to be improved
Improve our homes
Investment in urban areas
Is very active, no improvement needed

Comments_Negative_B
Neg_ScenB
It could take funds from roads for public transportation
It is not fair to distribute money
It will be more crowded in certain areas.
it would be different for everyone in this
it would not be the same for everyone
It's not for small cities
Job 1/2 hour away
Just like to change in our area
lack privacy
leaves out suburbs
Less car use
Less likely to interact with neighboring towns
Less Roads
Like it because of air reduction
Limited
longer to get from place to place
Low multi family mixed homes
Many people! More pollution
might make communities less heterogeneous-more insular stop diversity
Mixed use development
Mixed used development
Money not wisely spread
More & farmland
more bikers
more blight
More cars will be active
More construction traffic
More expansion
More greenhouse gases and pollution
more investment in downtown not in the most disadvantaged neighborhoods
More money to the city
More people walking
More plant and ??
More population condensed to smaller spaces. Traffic
more traffic
most people like driving
N/A

Comments_Negative_B
Neg_ScenB
Need additional improvement - roads/lanes
Need bigger selection of stores to go shopping
Need more bike routes
Need road repair and this doesn't seem to have that
Need to maintain existing roadways!
Neglect roads
Nice for Fresno
NO
No dogs on the streets
No one is active
no repair to city
No road maintenance to my area
none
not a benefit to the rural cities
Not a lot of people in this area would use the active part of transportation
Not a negative impact but a concern the future Fresno won't be considered
Not a negative impact only that our communities don't have the security to walk with our families
not all are on the same page
not applicable to my area
Not as many people benefit
Not enough focus on urban areas that need revitalization
Not enough for safety
Not enough growth.
Not enough transit options
not enough, not safe for kids walking to school, not all areas have sidewalks
not everything is close enough
Not going to happen
Not good use of our limited resources
not helping Fresno are
not much
Not possitive
Not so much focus in Fresno/Clovis
Not taken advantage of by citizens
not that many people walk and exercise like they are supposed to. Minority of people exercise.
Not too much bikes
nothing really
Only a few bike lanes and side walks

Comments_Negative_B
Neg_ScenB
Only addresses local area
Only does certain areas
Only for big cities
only for big communities
Other areas need help
other rural areas would be ignored
Our location (Not walkable unsafe) sidewalks and bike paths needed
Our transit would not take 30 minutes to pick up
over populationonly focusing on small cities and disregard bigger cities
People may be unwilling to start walking, biking, especially in the hot summer
People may not want to use that because they're lazy
People we don't use it
People would probably not want to do the physical work and walking
possibly more pedestrians will get hurt & not everyone can get around
Premature deaths
Quality of life
Removal of agriculture
repair streets
Residential Density
Risk of bike riding and walking.
Rural access- commerce
Same as A
Same as above
Scenario B is not for the people who like big yards, and more space
See above - although formulated population presented is important
Seems unlikely to happen. The city is sparsely place thus cars are used. Hard to change existing structure already.
selective areas
Small range of service
some people will still not want to travel any other way other than by car
Sometimes you don't feel like walking
Special service
Sprawl
Still needs road repair
Streets are bad and no lighting
taxes/sprawl/unaffordable housing
that are not going to be dedicated in the big community

Comments_Negative_B
Neg_ScenB
that distribution would not be fair
that most of the money would only go to bigger cities
that no more are going to communicate in the big cities
that nothing else would give money to big cities
that the community be verified
that they do not give anything to small towns
the breakdown is not fair
The little cities don't get help
the road
The use of transportation
There could be too many hazards
there were not any others
There will be less room.
there would be a lot of possible crime
they focusing on an area I no longer live
They should invest in the community starting from zero
They're already close to me
this scenario is very good
This scenario may cost the city lots of \$
This would result in poor quality roads
Too congested in the metro area
Too congested traffic is bad
Too isolated to city
too many bike lanes not being utilized
Too much focus on metro areas
too much in Clovis already
Too much money goes to the bigger cities as it is now.
Too much space being used for bikes not cars
too much traffic
Too much traffic in 1 location
Too selfish
transport
transportation
Trust
Using bikes instead
Walk
Walking and biking paths are used more for recreation than work or business travel.

**Comments\_Negative\_B**

**Neg\_ScenB**

want to live single resid.

We don't have automobiles

We don't really need clean air

We don't walk

We need growth

We need more 4 B

Will come of any of A-C. Why start something new when we have plenty available to fix

will lead to new healthy opportunities for upcoming generations and us now

Will not provide help for the little cities outside Fresno

Would add better public transportation from smaller cities to metro area...less commuting with cars

would be great, but hard