



Fresno Council of Governments

Cycle 5

***2021 REGIONAL COMPETITIVE
ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM***

SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION

Project Application No. (must match Caltrans ATP application):

Project name (must match Caltrans ATP application project name):

1. Project Eligibility and Application Completeness

Applications will be screened for eligibility. Applications will be removed from the competitive process if found ineligible based on the guidelines and if the project application is incomplete. Projects not selected for programming in the statewide competition, but deemed eligible for the regional program, will be considered; however, all applicants are required to submit this short supplemental application.

- a. This project was submitted to the statewide competition. Y / N _____
If yes, please complete question 2.
- b. Caltrans Cycle 5 project application is complete and included. Y / N _____

2. Project Phasing and Segmentation (skip if you did not submit this project to the statewide competition)

Agencies are allowed to phase or segment a project for the Regional ATP if the project was submitted and considered in the statewide call for projects to meet our encouraged maximum funding award request. The agency must show that the project phase or segment submitted for consideration in the Regional ATP is a functional segment and meets all eligibility requirements for ATP funding. In addition, the agency must complete the small infrastructure Caltrans application that includes documentation to reflect the phase or segmented project.

- Project was submitted for consideration in the statewide call for projects and has been altered for consideration in the Regional ATP
- Project was submitted for consideration in the statewide call for projects and has NOT been altered for consideration in the Regional ATP

3. Leveraging (3 points)

Points will be based on the amount of non-ATP funding pledged to the project as listed on your Caltrans application in the PPR. The Commission will only consider cash funds for leveraging. Pre-construction phases funded by the local agency will be considered for leveraging even if the funds were expended before the application deadline.

- Project is requesting 100% ATP funds
- Project is leveraging non-ATP funds as shown in the PPR
Total Project Cost: \$ _____
Total ATP Funding Request: \$ _____
Total Non-ATP Funding (if applicable): \$ _____

Points	Amount Leveraged
1 Point	More than 10% to 15% of total project cost
2 Points	More than 15% to 20% of total project cost
3 Points	More than 20% of total project cost

4. Project is consistent with Fresno COG's adopted 2018 RTP, Fresno COG's Regional Active Transportation Plan or an adopted local Active Transportation Plan including Bicycle/Pedestrian, Master Trails or Safe Routes to School Plans. (1 point)

Project is on the constrained project list in the adopted 2018 RTP, FCOG Regional Active Transportation Plan, or adopted local Active Transportation Plan **(1 Point)**

If checked, please attach documentation highlighting the project listing on the adopted plan.

Project is NOT on an adopted Plan **(0 points)**

RTP Constrained List Link: https://www.fresnocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/2018-RTP_Appendix-C_FINAL.pdf

Regional ATP Link (Appendix D): https://www.fresnocog.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Appendices-C-F.rev_Jun18.pdf

5. Board Resolution Attached

The following rubrics will be used by the Fresno COG Regional Scoring Committee based on the information provided in the Caltrans ATP application for the Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities, Need and Scope and Plan Layout Consistency categories.

Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities (6 Points)

Severity (0-4 Points)

Points	Median Household Income (MHI) Criteria – MHI = \$56,982	
0 Points	Greater than 80% of the MHI	greater than \$56,982.40
1 Point	75% through <80% of MHI	\$53,421 through \$56,982.40
2 Points	70% through <75% of MHI	\$49,859.60 through \$53,421
3 Points	65% through <70% of MHI	\$46,298.20 through \$49,859.60
4 Points	< 65% of MHI	less than \$46,298.20
Points	CalEnviroScreen Criteria	
0 Points	Above 25% most disadvantaged	less than 39.34
1 Point	20% through 25% most disadvantaged	39.34 through 42.86
2 Points	15% through < 20% most disadvantaged	42.87 through 46.63
3 Points	10% through < 15% most disadvantaged	46.64 through 51.18
4 Points	< 10% most disadvantaged	51.19 through 94.09
Points	Free or Reduced Lunches	
0 Points	Less than 75% of students receive free or reduced lunches	
1 Point	≥ 75% through 80% of students receive free or reduced lunches	
2 Points	> 80% through 85% of students receive free or reduced lunches	
3 Points	> 85% through 90% of students receive free or reduced lunches	
4 Points	> 90% of students receive free or reduced lunches	
Points	Healthy Places Index Percentile	
0 Points	Healthy Places Index Score above 25 Percentile	
1 Point	Healthy Places Index Score 20 through 25 Percentile	
2 Points	Healthy Places Index Score 15 through <20 Percentile	
3 Points	Healthy Places Index Score 10 through <15 Percentile	
4 Points	Healthy Places Index Score <10 Percentile	

Project Location (0-2 Points)

Points	Applicant’s ability to demonstrate the project is located within a DAC.
2 Points	Project location(s) are/is fully (100%) located within a DAC.
1 Point	Project location(s) are/is partially (less than 100%) within a DAC.
0 Points	None of the project location(s) are/is within a DAC.

Need (50 Points)

Statement of Project need (0-26 Points)

Points	Applicant’s ability to demonstrate a specific active transportation need.
19-24 Points	The application compellingly demonstrates “need” in the project area , and documents all of the following in a clear narrative: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the lack of connectivity, • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, • data showing the local health concerns, including a comparison to statewide health data AND if applicable <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community, • For NI components – the need for the education, encouragement and/or enforcement program
13-18 Points	The application duly demonstrates “need” in the project area, and documents: only 2 of the following clearly, and at least one other partially: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the lack of connectivity, • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, • data showing the local health concerns, including a comparison to statewide health data AND if applicable <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community, • For NI components – the need for the education, encouragement and/or enforcement program
7-12 Points	The application demonstrates “need” in the project area , and documents: only 1 of the following clearly, and at least one other partially : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the lack of connectivity, • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, • data showing the local health concerns, including a comparison to statewide health data AND if applicable <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For NI components – the need for the education, encouragement and/or enforcement program
1-6 Points	The application minimally demonstrates “need” in the project area , and partially documents 1 of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the lack of connectivity, • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, • local health concerns AND if applicable <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For NI components – the need for the education, encouragement and/or enforcement program
0 Points	The application does not demonstrate “need” in any way in the project area in any of the three areas of need , and there is no mention of the need of the disadvantaged community and there is no mention of the NI program (if applicable).

Points	Applicant’s ability to demonstrate the active transportation needs of STUDENTS.
2 Points	The application addresses the active transportation needs of students
0 Points	The application does not address or mention the active transportation needs of students

Describe how the proposed project will address the active transportation need: (0-24 points)

Points	Applicant's ability to make a case that the project will address need for active transportation.
18-23 Points	The application clearly and convincingly demonstrates that the project will best address the active transportation need presented in part A by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating or improving links or connections, • encouraging use of routes to very important destinations and community identified destinations.
11-17 Points	The application demonstrates that the project will likely address the active transportation need presented in part A by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating or improving links or connections, • encouraging use of routes to very important destinations and community identified destinations.
5-10 Points	The application somewhat demonstrates that the project will address the active transportation need presented in part A by: (at least 1 of the following) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating or improving links or connections, • encouraging use of routes to very important destinations and community identified destinations.
1-4 Points	The application minimally demonstrates that the project may address the active transportation need presented in part A by: (partially 1 or more of the following) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating or improving links or connections, • encouraging use of routes to very important destinations and community identified destinations.
0 Points	The application did not demonstrate the project would address the need presented in Part A.

Points	Applicant's ability to make a case that the proposal that will increase the number of active transportation trips accomplished by STUDENTS.
1 Point	The project will increase the proportion of active transportation trips accomplished by students
0 Points	The project will not increase the proportion of active transportation trips accomplished by students

Scope and Plan Layout Consistency (5 Points)

Points	Evaluating Layouts/Maps
2 Points	The submitted layouts/maps are complete, clear, and/or provide sufficient detail to determine the full scope of the proposed project.
0 Points	The submitted layouts/maps are poorly developed or vague in outlining the various elements of the proposed project, or the applicant failed.

Points	Evaluating Engineer's Estimate
2 Points	The submitted estimate is thorough and consistent with the elements and phases of the proposed project.
0 Points	The applicant failed to provide an estimate that matches the proposed elements.

Points	Evaluating the Project Schedule
1 Point	The submitted schedule fully incorporates all necessary phases and provides adequate time to complete the phases (PA&ED, PS&E, ROW, CON and CON-NI).
0 Points	The submitted schedule failed to incorporate all necessary phases and/or does not provide adequate time to complete the phases (PA&ED, PS&E, ROW, CON and CON-NI).