

2035 Tulare St., Ste. 201 tel 559-233-4148 Fresno, California 93721 fax 559-233-9645

www.fresnocog.org

Fresno Council of Governments 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)

Project Evaluation Criteria

City of Clovis City of Coalinga City of Firebaugh City of Fowler City of Fresno City of Huron City of Kerman City of Kingsburg City of Mendota City of Orange Cove City of Parlier City of Reedley City of San Joaquin City of Sanger City of Selma County of Fresno

Final DRAFT 7/14/2017

Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 1 | Is consistent with current local plans and policies | | Notes |
|---|---|-----|---|
| | Implements existing local plans and policies | | |
| | 2 | Yes | [Accounts for 9.52% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 2 | Will be | e part of an existing trail, bicycle or pedestrian network | Notes |
|---|----------|--|---|
| | Addresse | es continued system continuity | |
| | 2 | Project will address system continuity in one or more of the following ways: * The project will enhance or extend an existing trail, bicycle, or sidewalk facility * The project is the first phase of a project that will provide future system connectivity * The project is a connectivity gap closure project | [Accounts for 9.52% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project is a stand alone project not connecting or enhancing an existing facility | |

| 3 | Provide | es improved access to activity centers | Notes |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | Deuesillali svsielli | | Directly serves is defined as: a bike or pedestrian project that leads |
| | 2 | Directly serves an activity center | straight to or alongside an activity center. <i>Indirectly serves</i> is defined as: a bike or pedestrian project that does not lead straight to or go alongside an activity center but is within 2 miles of an activity |
| | 1 | | center. Activity Center defined as: A medical center or civic center, school, office, park, employment or commercial area [Accounts for |
| | 0 | | 9.52% of total points available.] |

| 4 | | ject bridges an obstacle or provides a more direct route ng significant out-of-direction travel) | Notes |
|---|--|--|---|
| | The project reduces travel time and distance | | |
| | 2 | Yes | [Accounts for 9.52% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 5 | Improves pedestrian and bicycle user safety | | Notes |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Improved pedestrian and/or trail/bicycle user safety | | Examples of enhancements for pedestrian and/or trail/bike safety |
| | 2 | I be project includes enhancements for pedestrian and/or trail/blke satety | include: lighting, drainage, improved visibility, hazard elimination, right-of-way separation, etc. [Accounts for 9.52% of total points |
| | 0 | The project does not include ancillary improvements that will enhance safety | available.] |

| 6 | Health F | Priority Index | Notes |
|---|------------|---|--|
| | Project be | | Visit Fresno County Department of Public Health's website at |
| | 2 | Projects that benefit areas with 3-4 health burden measures | http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/DivisionPage.aspx?id=71385 (Health Priority Index) for a map to determine project areas level of health |
| | | Projects that benefit areas with 1-2 health burden measures or that falls within an economically disadvantaged community. | burden. Health Burden measures include: Pre-term Birth rate, Pollution Burden, Year of potential life lost, Composite Mortality Index. A maximum of 2 points may be awarded. [Accounts for |
| | 0 | | 9.52% of total points available.] |

Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 7 | Estimated Project Timing | | Notes |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|
| | More imr traffic | minent projects are higher priority than those that are not ready to be open to | |
| | 5 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within the next 5 years | |
| | 4 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 5 to 10 years | |
| | 3 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 10 to 15 years | [Accounts for 23.81% of total points available.] |
| | 2 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 15 to 20 years | |
| | 1 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 20 to 25 years | |
| | 0 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic in more than 25 years | |

| 8 | Suppor | rts SCS growth principles (4 points possible) | Notes |
|---|------------|---|--|
| | Project fu | rthers implementation of theSCS | |
| | 1 | Reduces reliance on single-occupancy vehicles | |
| | 1 | Supports compact development | Project may receive 1 point per criterion that applies. A maximum of 4 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 19.05% of total points available.] |
| | 1 | Supports transit connectivity | |
| | 1 | Provides Greenhouse Gas reduction and/or Criteria Pollutant emission reductions | |

Streets and Roads~Capacity Increasing Projects Evaluation Criteria

| | | | 5:00 PM |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | ls consi | istent with current local plans and policies | Notes |
| | Implements existing local plans and policies | | |
| | 2 | Yes | [Accounts for 6.9% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 2 | Conges | stion Relief | Notes |
|---|----------|---|--|
| | Improves | existing congestion and delay at the most critical locations | |
| | 5 | Project relieves congestion on an urban roadway located in the Fresno Clovis Metropolitan Area (FCMA) that is experiencing congestion at LOS F, or a rural roadway located in areas outside of the FCMA experiencing congestion at LOS D or worse. | |
| | 3 | Projects relieves traffice congestion on roadways with LOS beyond the design standard in the local general plans, but better than LOS F in the FCMA or LOS D in the rest of the County | [Accounts for 17.24% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | Project <i>does not</i> relieve congestion as specified above | |

| 3 | Improv | es Air Quality (up to 8 points) | Notes |
|---|-------------------|---|--|
| | Reduces Emissions | | |
| | 3 | Project includes synchronization of traffic signals | |
| | 3 | Project includes or promotes Active Transportation options | Examples of an <i>existing deficiency</i> can include: widening a |
| | 3 | Project is already served by transit | bottleneck, or providing a connection over/under/through an existing cirulation barrier (i.e. freeway, railroad, waterway), etc. |
| | 3 | Project corrects an existing deficiency that regularly causes significant delays and congestion. | May receive points for each criterion that applies. A maximum of 8 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 27.59% of total points |
| | 2 | Project includes air pollution mitigation strategies | available.] |
| | 1 | Project includes a new connection to state freeway roadway system or has freeway auxiliary lanes to serve weave or queues | |
| | 1 | Project has parallel facilities within a mile that operate at LOS F (Urban), LOS E (Rural) | |

| 4 | Provides improved access to activity centers | | Notes |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | Directly serves is defined as: a streets and roads project that |
| | 2 | Directly serves an activity center | leads straight to or alongside an activity center. <i>Indirectly serves</i> is defined as: a streets or roads project that does not lead straight to or go alongside an activity center but is within 3 miles of an |
| | 1 | Indirectly serves an activity center | activity center. <i>Activity Center</i> defined as: A medical center or civic center, school, office, park, employment or commercial area. |
| | 0 | | [Accounts for 6.9% of total points available.] |

| 5 | 5 Project Improves Safety (5 points possible) | | Notes |
|---|---|---|--|
| | Safety is il | | Countermeasures to improve safety includes, but not limited to: Railroad grade separations, improvements to bike/ped vehicle |
| | 3 | IProject includes countermeasures to improve saleiv | interactions, speed reductions on high-speed roads, dedicated left- turn lanes, etc. |
| | 2 | | To determine accident rate, refer to Fresno COG's Collision Data Map located at: [need link!] [Accounts for 17.24% of total points available.] |

Streets and Roads~Capacity Increasing Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 6 | Suppor | ts Other Modes of Transportation | Notes |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Addresses multi-modal policies in the RTP | | |
| | 2 | Yes - Project includes the construction of planned trail/bike lanes, sidewalks, transit systems. Amenities, or other modal improvements | |
| | 1 | Yes - Project provides for future planned trail/bike lanes, sidewalks, transit systems. Amenities, or other modal improvements within ROW. | [Accounts for 6.9% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 7 | Estima | ted Project Timing | Notes |
|---|--|--|--|
| | More imminent projects are higher priority than those that are not ready to be open to traffic | | |
| | 5 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within the next 5 years | |
| | 4 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 5 to 10 years | |
| | 3 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 10 to 15 years | A maximum of 5 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 17.24% of total points available.] |
| | 2 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 15 to 20 years | |
| | 1 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 20 to 25 years | |
| | 0 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic in more than 25 years | |

Streets and Roads~Non-Capacity Increasing MAINTENANCE Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 1 | ls cons | istent with current local plans and policies | Notes |
|---|-----------|--|--|
| | Implement | 's existing local plans and policies | |
| | 2 | Yes | [Accounts for 15.38% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 2 | Pavement Management | | Notes |
|---|---|---|--|
| | The project participates in the jurisdiction's Pavement Management System | | |
| | 2 | Project participates in a Pavement Management System | [Accounts for 15.38% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | Project does not participates in a Pavement Management System | |

| 3 | Paveme | ent Condition / Safety Condition | Notes |
|---|---|---|--|
| | The project's road pavement is in the most failing condition in the jurisdiction? | | |
| | 2 | The project's road condition is in the bottom 25% of the roads in the jurisdiction | |
| | 1 | I be project's road condition is in the bottom 60% of the roads in the | Another 1/4 will get 1 point. [Accounts for 15.38% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project's road condition is <i>not</i> in the bottom 50% of the roads in the jurisdiction | |

| 4 | Road U | sage | Notes |
|---|-----------|--|--|
| | Road exhi | bits the highest use for the jurisdiction based on ADT | |
| | 2 | | Only 1/4 of a jurisdiction's maintenance projects will get 2 points. |
| | 1 | I be project's road usage is in the top 50% of ADD for the jurisdiction, but below | Another 1/4 will get 1 point. [Accounts for 15.38% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project's road usage is <i>not</i> in the top 50% of ADT for the jurisdiction | |

| 5 | Estimated Project Timing | | Notes |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| | More immi traffic | inent projects are higher priority than those that are not ready to be open to | |
| | 5 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within the next 5 years | |
| | 4 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 5 to 10 years | |
| | 3 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 10 to 15 years | A maximum of 5 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 38.46% of total points available.] |
| | 2 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 15 to 20 years | |
| | 1 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 20 to 25 years | |
| | 0 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic in more than 25 years | |

Streets and Roads~Non-Capacity Increasing OPERATIONS Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 1 | ls cons | stent with current local plans and policies | Notes |
|---|--|---|---|
| | Implements existing local plans and policies | | |
| | 2 | Yes | [Accounts for 9.09% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 2 | Improves Air Quality (up to 6 points) | | Notes |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Reduces | Emissions | |
| | 3 | Project includes synchronization of traffic signals | |
| | 3 | Project includes or promotes Active Transportation options | Project may receive points for each criterion that applies. A |
| | 3 | Project corrects an existing deficiency that regularly causes significant delays, congestion, or contributes to the dispersion of particulate matter. | maximum of 6 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 27.27% of total points available.] |
| | 1 | Project is already served by transit | |
| | 1 | Project has parallel facilities within a mile that operate at LOS F (Urban), LOS E (Rural) | |

| 3 | Provide | es improved access to activity centers | Notes |
|--------------------|---------|---|--|
| Improves system | | the access to major services through an improved and maintained street/road | Directly serves is defined as: a streets and roads project that leads |
| | 2 | Directly serves an activity center | straight to or alongside an activity center. <i>Indirectly serves</i> is defined as: a streets or roads project that does not lead straight to or go alongside an activity center but is within 2 miles of an activity |
| | 1 | Indizativ contactor and attribute contact | center. Activity Center defined as: A medical center or civic center, school, office, park, employment or commercial area. [Accounts for |
| | 0 | | 9.09% of total points available.] |

| 4 | Project Improves Safety (5 points possible) | | Notes |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Safety is i | mproved with countermeasures | Accident preventative/safety measures includes, but not limited to: Railroad grade separations, improvements to bike/ped vehicle |
| | 3 | Project includes countermeasures to improve safety | interactions, speed reductions on high-speed roads, dedicated left- turn lanes, etc. |
| | | Provides safety improvements to a roadway or intersection that is accident- prone - i.e. in the top 10% of collision rate by traffic volume (25+ collisions per 10,000 ADT, past 5 years) | To determine accident rate, refer to Fresno COG's Collision Data Map located at: [need link!] [Accounts for 22.73% of total points available.] |

| 5 | Road U | sage | Notes |
|---|-----------|---|---|
| | Road exhi | bits the highest use for the jurisdiction based on ADT | |
| | 2 | | Only 1/4 of a jurisdiction's maintenance projects will get 2 points. |
| | 1 | The project's read usage is in the ten 50% of ADT for the jurisdiction, but below | Another 1/4 will get 1 point. [Accounts for 9.09% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project's road usage is <i>not</i> in the top 50% of ADT for the jurisdiction | |

Streets and Roads~Non-Capacity Increasing OPERATIONS Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 6 | Estima | ted Project Timing | Notes |
|---|---------------------|---|--|
| | More imr traffic | ninent projects are higher priority than those that are not ready to be open to | |
| | 5 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within the next 5 years | |
| | 4 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 5 to 10 years | |
| | 3 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 10 to 15 years | A maximum of 5 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 22.73% of total points available.] |
| | 2 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 15 to 20 years | |
| | 1 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 20 to 25 years | |
| | 0 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic in more than 25 years | |

Transit Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 1 | ls cor | sistent with current local plans, policies, and Short Range Transit Plans | Notes |
|---|--------|---|--|
| | Implem | ents existing local plans, policies and Short Range Transit Plans | |
| | 2 | | Consistent is defined as: a project listed within a plan or a project supports a plan's goal, policies, or objectives. [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | No | |

| 2 | Provi | des improved access to activity centers | Notes |
|---|---------|--|--|
| | Improve | es access to activity centers through an expanded transit system | Directly convex is defined on a transit exist that leads straight to a clearaide on |
| | 2 | Directly conves an activity conter | Directly serves is defined as: a transit project that leads straight to or alongside an activity center. Indirectly serves is defined as: a transit project that does not lead straight to or go alongside an activity center but is within 2 miles of an activity center. |
| | 1 | la d'an ak anna an a-staite anna a | Activity Center defined as: A medical center or civic center, school, office, park, employment or commercial area. [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | Does not directly or indirectly serve an activity center | |

| 3 | Proje | ct will maintain established productivity standards | Notes |
|---|---|--|---|
| | The project can be supported and operated over time | | |
| | 3 | Will exceed established productivity standards | Draduativity standards are based on the definitions in the Chart Dance Transit Ding (i.e. |
| | 2 | Yes, all existing productivity standards can be maintained | Productivity standards are based on the definitions in the Short Range Transit Plan (i.e. TDA performance indicators, ridership and farebox). [Accounts for 9.68% of total points available.] |
| | 1 | Two or more productivity standards can be maintained | avanaue.j |
| | 0 | Productivity standards cannot be maintained by the project | |

| 4 | 1 | Project provides for or promotes intermodal connectivity | | Notes |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | The project enhances the regional transportation system | | Intermodal connectivity is defined as: bus to train, bus to airport, bus to a Park & Ride, |
| | | 3 | | bus to a Vanpool or Carpool, or bus to a Bike Facility. A project that accomplishes at least one of the connections listed receives 3 points. [Accounts for 9.68% of total points |
| | | 0 | No, the project does not provide intermodal connectivity | available.] |

| 5 | Proje | ct serves a transit dependent population and/or community | Notes |
|---|---------|--|---|
| | Project | provides access to essential services for the transit dependent population | |
| | 2 | The project will serve a transit dependent population that is currently not served at all | Transit Dependent is defined as: individuals, or groups of individuals that do not have a choice in their selection of transportation modes, and are primarily dependent on the |
| | 1 | The project will serve a transit dependent population that currently has some service or access within 2 miles | availability of public transportation. [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project will not serve a transit dependent population | [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | |
| 6 | Proje | ct enhances interagency transit service coordination | Notes |
| | Enhand | ses regional transportation system connectivity and ability to consolidateregional trips | Examples include: vanpool, rideshare programs as well as coordination between transit |
| | 2 | | operators. |
| | 0 | No, the project is not being developed in collaboration with another agency or group | [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |

| 7 | Projec | ct reduces reliance on private automobiles | Notes |
|---|--------|---|---|
| | Enhanc | es air quality and reduces peak automobile travel | |
| | 2 | | Activity Center defined as: A medical center or civic center, school, office, park, employment or commercial are. A maximum of 2 points may be awarde. |
| | 1 | The project involves new or enhanced access to an activity center | [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project does not involve new or enhanced commuter service or access to essential services | |

Transit Projects Evaluation Criteria

| 8 | Proje | ct will enhance part of an existing transit service | Notes |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Addresses continued system continuity | | |
| | 2 | The project will enhance or extend an existing transit facility or service | [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |
| | 0 | The project is a stand alone project not connecting or enhancing an existing facility or service | |

| 9 | Proje | ect reduces vehicle congestion | Notes |
|---|---|--|---|
| | Reduces commuter or special event trips | | |
| | 2 | The project involves new or enhanced express transit service along a congested (LOS D - Rural or F - Urban) corridor | [Accounts for 6.45% of total points available.] |
| | 1 | The project involves shuttle service for major events in congested areas such as in the City center | |
| | 0 | The project will not reduce traffic congestion along a deficient corridor or in a city center | |

| 10 | Supp | orts SCS growth principles (4 points possible) | Notes |
|----|---------|---|---|
| | Project | furthers implementation of the SCS | |
| | 1 | Supports compact development | [Accounts for 12.9% of total points available.] |
| | | Provides Greenhouse Gas reduction and/or Criteria Pollutant emission reductions by replacing gas/diesel with ZEV, hybrids or CNG | |
| | | Provides Greenhouse Gas reduction and/or Criteria Pollutant emission reductions by eliminating SOV with larger capacity buses | |

| 11 | Health | h Priority Index | Notes |
|----|---------|--|---|
| | Project | benefits areas that are most health burdened | Visit Fresno County Department of Public Health's website at |
| | 2 | | http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/DivisionPage.aspx?id=71385 (Health Priority Index) for a map to determine project areas level of health burden. Health Burden measures include: |
| | 1 | disadvantaged community | Pre-term Birth rate, Pollution Burden, Year of potential life lost, Composite Mortality Index. A maximum of 2 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 6.45% of total points |
| | 0 | Projects that do not benefit areas with significant health burden measures | available.] |

| 12 | 2 Estimated Project Timing | | Notes |
|----|--|--|--|
| | More imminent projects are higher priority than those that are not ready to be open to traffic | | |
| | 5 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within the next 5 years | |
| | 4 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 5 to 10 years | |
| | 3 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 10 to 15 years | A maximum of 5 points may be awarded. [Accounts for 16.13% of total points available.] |
| | 2 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 15 to 20 years | |
| | 1 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic within 20 to 25 years | |
| | 0 | Project is scheduled to be open to traffic in more than 25 years | |